

RSB:SND

DRAFT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF NEW SOUTH WALES

THE CHIEF JUDGE
THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE PRICE AM
AND THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT

MONDAY 15 AUGUST 2016

**SWEARING IN OF HIS HONOUR JUDGE SUTHERLAND SC AND HIS
HONOUR JUDGE INGRAM SC AS JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF
NEW SOUTH WALES**

The Honourable Gabrielle Upton, Attorney General of New South Wales, on behalf of New South Wales Bar Association
Miss Juliana Warner Law Society Councillor, Law Society of New South Wales, on behalf of solicitors

(Commission read)

(Oaths of office taken)

PRICE CJ: Judge Sutherland, Judge Ingram I very warmly welcome both of you on behalf of all the judge of this Court and which you the very best in your judicial careers.

UPTON: Chief Judge it is my privilege to appear to today, not only as the Attorney General of New South Wales but also on behalf of the New South Wales Bar. Occasions which celebrate service to the law and commitment to justice are the most rewarding for me as Attorney General and today of course is a doubly auspicious occasion, both for the District Court and for the people of New South Wales.

We gather today to welcome the appointment of two outstanding barristers to the Bench of the District Court of New South Wales and on behalf of the State of New South Wales it is my great pleasure to congratulate his Honour Judge Ingram SC and his Honour Judge Sutherland SC on their

RSB:SND

appointment to this Court. Before I share some remarks about Judge Ingram and Judge Sutherland, I would like to briefly address their families.

So today I'm certain to both families it is an extremely proud day for you, it is a day that marks great professional achievement an achievement to which you as families have also made an invaluable contribution. So I want to extend my thanks to you all.

To Judge Ingram's family, to your wife Kai to your children, Elisa, Jessica, Nicholas, Andrew and James and to your sister Anne Marie.

To Judge Sutherland's family, to your partner Thereese and I am very pleased to acknowledge Aunty Nellie I believe and Aunty Elsie, two of your Honour's dear mother's sisters who are also here with us today.

Judge Ingram, you have answered the call to the Bench after a dedicated period of service, to the people of this great State at the New South Wales Office of the Director Public Prosecutions, I shall speak more of this service shortly. But I will first introduce those present to an abridged history of your early life in times.

Your Honour was born in Melbourne, the eldest brother of Anne Marie, and I believe Anne Marie is here today, and Bernard who is travelling and not attending. Your Honour's parents George and Patricia were pivotal to your happy and loving upbringing in a family. Your father George is an architect I understand and perhaps a portent of today's events, your mother was a stenographer in the Victorian Children's Court. In the mid-1960s your family moved to the Solomon Islands, then a British protectorate, as your father had taken a posting with Her Majesty's Government.

Since your return to Australia a few years later, your Honour settled and has remained in Sydney and your Honour attended several primary schools,

RSB:SND

too many to recall I am told in your own words. You commenced tertiary study with a Bachelor of Science, proceeded to a Bachelor of Business Studies and from there you transferred to a Bachelor of Laws. I understand your years at university were divided between the study of law and several part time positions, including as a meat packing hand, a commercial cleaner and a warehouse man, a well-rounded set of work experiences indeed to bring to the Bench. In 1983 your Honour graduated with honours in law from what is now known as the University of Technology Sydney.

Your Honour was called to the New South Wales Bar in 1984 and commenced private practice the following year. Your Honour practised privately for 12 years and appeared in the Local, District and Supreme Courts in a wide variety and a significant number of matters. While in private practice, your Honour appeared in the Supreme Court as counsel for the accused in jury trials for murder, attempted murder and child sexual assault offences.

One of your more notable cases, was a personal injury claim in which you were led by the Honourable Michael Maurice QC on behalf of the surviving members or relatives of deceased members of the Volunteer Bush Fire Fighting Brigade caught in the Royal National Park in the mid-1980s.

In May 1992, your Honour was appointed as a Crown Prosecutor, you quickly demonstrated your skill as a Prosecutor and in 2006 you were appointed as Deputy Senior Crown Prosecutor, a position you have held since then. In 2010, you were appointed Senior Counsel and acknowledgment of course or your peers at the Bar of your finely good mind and your dedication to the law. In 2011, your Honour became Head of the Court of Criminal Appeal Unit and it is from this role that you now take up your appointment to the Bench.

RSB:SND

As part of your work as the Head of the Court of Criminal Appeal Unit, your Honour has mentored new Crown Prosecutors on the art of appellate submissions. Your dedication, your care, your cool, calm demeanour that you have shown in this mentoring role are character traits that mark you out both professionally and personally.

Your Honour has appeared in excess of 400 appeals in your time as a Crown Prosecutor and notable matters include, R v Hawi, an appeal against a conviction and sentence for murder that arose from the trial of members of an outlaw motor cycle gang following the riot at Sydney Domestic Airport in March 2009. And R v SW which concerned the murder of child by her mother as a result of starvation and neglect, this was a matter concerning a little girl known in public as Ebony.

Your Honour's dedication to the law extends to your involvement outside chambers and the courtroom. You are, including a number of things, a past member of the Criminal Law Committee of the New South Wales Bar Association. You have presided as a judge in mock trials and moots conducted for new barristers and law students. You have given papers on complex legal questions at professional development seminars and conferences. And you have participated in deliberations of the New South Wales Sentencing Council and the New South Wales Law Reform Commission.

Your Honour's dedication to the law is equalled by your dedication to your colleagues, who say you are reliable and wise and someone with whom they can share ideas and discuss points of law. You are valued by your colleagues as an incredibly skilled appellate advocate with an incisive and clear understanding of the law. The same colleagues attest to your Honour's

RSB:SND

dry sense of humour and sharp wit peppered with the occasional references to the Three Stooges I am told. Furthermore I am told, your Honour is known to be an extraordinary mimic with a repertoire of international accents that is second to none. In fact I understand your Honour is a keen student of character and that you and another certain Crown Prosecutor are able to conduct discussions of complex legal matters both in character as HG Nelson and rampaging Roy Sladen no less.

In all aspects of your career, your Honour is known to be a man of integrity. Your Honour has been described to me as balanced and fair, precisely what a Crown Prosecutor should be. It is because of these very characteristics that you will be sorely missed from the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions and indeed a thoroughly worthy addition to the District Court Bench. Judge Ingram thank you answering the call to the District Court Bench, I wish you well and I offer you my personal congratulations.

Judge Sutherland, your Honour's past of District Court is one of almost 40 years practice at law and a reputation as a formidable advocate. Before I describe your Honour's past to the Bench in more detail, I would like to give a brief account of your Honour's earlier years.

Your Honour was born in Edinburgh in Scotland and having arrived in Australia as a very young boy you attended Willoughby Public School and then North Sydney Boy's High School. Following high school your Honour received a Commonwealth Scholarship to Sydney University from where you graduated with a Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Laws in 1974. Reflecting your great affinity with your Scottish motherland, your Honour played bagpipes in the Australian First Grade Championship Winning Pipe Games. And you played rugby for Sydney University and for the Gordon Highlanders, the latter of

RSB:SND

course a club with a fine Scottish tradition.

While you have worked as British labourer, a roofing assistant, chauffeur and auditor's assistant, your Honour found a calling in the law and you were admitted as a solicitor in 1977. Your Honour joined the Office of the Deputy Crown Solicitor for the Commonwealth and appeared for the Commonwealth in a wide range of committal hearings, extradition hearings and sentence hearings and as the advocate in habeas corpus applications before the Supreme Court.

Your Honour was called to the Bar in 1981 and your joined Garfield Barwick Chambers on the invitation of the late Reginald Maher OAM QC. As junior counsel you became the Commonwealth DPP's junior of choice in important matters, including a long running Medicare fraud case and significant Supreme Court trials, regarding some of the largest importations of heroin which had been prosecuted at that time. You were fortunate enough to appear as a junior on behalf of the Crown in a number of proceedings before the High Court of Australia and I am told that you benefited greatly from the tutelage of a leading criminal counsel of that day.

Your Honour was appointed senior counsel in 2001 the same year you also became head of chambers. Your substantial experience appearing for the Commonwealth in criminal proceedings was complimented by appearances for the defence in a broad range of matters from murder to complex fraud and white collar crime cases. In recent years you have had significant successes, including *R v Maugher* in the Court of Criminal Appeal with respect to the effect and a deterrent value of s 10 bond and *R v Podson* and *R v Lapman* regarding the availability of intensive correction orders for white collar crime.

RSB:SND

Although your Honour has demonstrated a particular affinity for criminal law, you have also maintained a diverse practice and an interest in broader legal developments and because of this I am told you are an advocate who can appear in any jurisdiction. You have appeared in numerous matters across many jurisdictions including the District Court, the Land and Environment Court, the Court of Criminal Appeal and the Supreme Court and the High Court and this is in addition to coronial inquest, the Wood Royal Commission and in disciplinary tribunals for the code of rugby.

Your Honour is known as a rare beast in the world of criminal law and equally masterful advocate whether prosecuting or defending. And several of your Honour's colleagues tell me that you are among the best criminal trial counsel that a lawyer could work with. A colleague who worked with your Honour when they were very a junior solicitor remarked that you exemplify what a senior counsel should be, recalling that you educated, nurtured and guided him and that you had been his counsel of choice for the past 17 years.

Outside your Honour's strict appearance work you have lent your skill at law, your analytical mind and your sound judgment to other endeavours. This included of course sitting on the judiciary of the New South Wales Country Rugby Union and you maintain a passionate interest in what you would refer to I am sure, is the game they play in heaven as an avid fan of test rugby in Australia and abroad, unless it is test cricket season. I gather that your Honour's passion for rugby and for cricket is such that an unexpected break in your diary has at times been quickly filled with a hop to London for an important match.

It goes without saying, your Honour's zest for life has been emphasised by more than a few of your friends and colleagues, in fact you have been

RSB:SND

described as a kind and generous man who is the life of the party, never lost for words. A man who has, yes a passion for the law that will be a loss to the Bar but indeed a tremendous asset to this Bench.

Judge Sutherland, it is my pleasure to congratulate you on your appointment to the Bench, the next step in your remarkable career in the law. Your energy and enthusiasm for legal work, your masterful skill as an advocate, your generosity of spirit will be invaluable to the District Court judiciary. I thank you for answering the call to the Bench and I wish you well.

So, Judge Ingram, Judge Sutherland your appointments to the Bench of the District Court are attribute to your professional skill and your personal character. Your appointments acknowledge exemplary careers of service to the law, the hard work and personal sacrifices you and your family have made. Your dedication will be of the utmost value to the District Court, to our community and to the law of our great State of New South Wales. Thank you for your years of service of excellence, congratulations on your appointments and my personal warmest wishes for your future on the Bench, may it please the Court.

WARNER: I would like to begin by acknowledging the traditional custodians of the land on which we meet, the Gadigal people of the Eora nation, and I pay my respects to their elders past and present.

As a Councillor of the Law Society of New South Wales, it is my very great honour to join with the Attorney General in offering my sincere congratulations to both your Honours on behalf of the solicitors of this State.

This is an honour that touches your families, your colleagues at the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions and the Bar, your instructing solicitors, your support staff and friends. But most of all, it is a testament to the skills,

RSB:SND

attributes, commitment and hard work that your Honours have shown over decades in this State.

Judge Sutherland, I noted with interest that you are North Sydney Boy's High School boy and I have a feeling that you were at North Sydney Boy's High when I was at North Sydney Girl's High. So having starting with that note, shall I say that in more than 35 years at the Bar countless instructing solicitors have witnessed first-hand the qualities that have paved the way to your appointment this morning.

According to them, your Honour has a mind like a steel trap, an eloquence par extraordinaire, and an ability to convey a message and a theme that is second to none. The ability you have to analyse legal principles and apply them to facts, whether for the defence or for the prosecution, is one of my many strengths.

Instructing solicitors remark that they particularly appreciated your Honour's lateral thinking and ability to think cogently and creatively outside the square. And when I tell you some of the anecdotes in a moment that will be an adequate demonstration of that I think. Your Honour leaves a lasting impression on all who you meet. I am told that once you leave, it is said your Honour is still in the room, with your gregarious company endearing you to all. Instructing solicitors have also described dealing with your Honour as "engaging, illuminating, occasionally frustrating but always wonderful." You have been an inspiration to instructing solicitors and junior counsel alike, taking on the role of a mentor and leader

Another remarks that your terrific skills as an advocate mean you can and I have to get this quote right "thread the eye of a needle with a story the size of a rope that could tie a cruise ship to a dock," quite an achievement.

RSB:SND

Indeed, in the courtroom your Honour takes a thoughtful and entertaining approach to juries in particular. One instructing solicitor has even described your Honour as resembling “a ballerina” with all your movements and gestures to the Bench and to your opposing counsel.

I said earlier that your Honour is eloquent, although apparently there are times when your eloquence has been lost on a few mere mortals. On one famous occasion I am told you said to your instructing solicitor, ‘Let’s look at this through the veneer of an objective hat.’ You were met with stunned silence and many colleagues have wondered since what you meant. But I understand you to be nevertheless a Harry Potter advocate.

For solicitors, what shone through in working with your Honour was your genuine commitment to, and enthusiasm for, the right outcome. For example, at the end of a difficult and contentious appeal, your Honour hugged your instructing solicitor at the Bar table with great exuberance and excitement upon learning that you had won.

One case of interest *R v Petroulias*, in which your Honour represented Nicholas Petroulias in his trial for gross misconduct and corruption as an Officer of the Commonwealth, a matter stretching to 18 the Supreme Court of New South Wales. Most recently, your Honour appeared for the defence in the landmark first prosecution of female genital mutilation in Australia, a very significant matters and a real credit to your ability and dedication to facts and legal analysis.

In your Honour’s life away from Chambers, you enjoy spending time with your partner Thereese. You were very close I understand to your mother Jean, who sadly passed away some time ago. But I too, would like to say hello to Aunty Nellie and Aunty Elsie who I gather are with you today to enjoy

RSB:SND

this.

Your Honour has a great range of tastes, poetry reading, film and music and as the Attorney has said you are a mad keen rugby fan and have been known to drop everything to fly to Twickenham and watch Australia play England.

It has been said that if a train is leaving at 5 past 2 your Honour will arrive at the platform at 2.04, order a sandwich, a beer, chat to a friend and then hop on a train. A fine sense of timing, clearly. I am told that on another occasion your Honour was running late to the airport and although public transport is not really your thing, you decided to take the train for once and thought that Mascot was it. Unfortunately you were wrong but nevertheless you managed to get a taxi, making it just in time.

Perhaps the most dominant theme of your personal life is, I understand, your full emersion in Scottish cultural life and particularly the Clan Sutherland. And with this in mind I report that some of your Honour's more daring friends have actually asked me to claim to the court that your favourite drink is in fact Irish whiskey.

Your Honour, you are one of the proliferation of notable Roberts in the generational story of Clan Sutherland, including King Robert the Bruce, Sir Robert Gordon and Robert, the 6th Earl of Sutherland. It is fitting that the Sutherland Clan motto is "Sans Peur", "Without Fear", given that you have just taken the judicial oath which swears to do right to all manner of people, without fear or favour. In this vein your Honour will, I am sure, be a strong a judicious presence on the bench and, once again, on behalf of the solicitors of New South Wales I congratulate you on your appointment to this court.

Judge Ingram, I do not know where you went to school.

RSB:SND

However, since being called to the Bar in 1984 and appointed a prosecutor in 1992 and taking silk in 2010, your Honour's rewarding career as a leading appellate lawyer has been defined by a succession of milestones, culminating in your appointment to the bench today. Your Honour's full-time posting to a country setting in Dubbo comprises part of a package of measures to address the large backlog facing the District Court system in New South Wales, particularly in regional areas. The Law Society therefore welcomes your appointment and thanks the Attorney General.

According to instructing solicitors your Honour has a passion for exactitude, a prodigious appetite for detail and a gift for analysis. In their view your courage as an advocate is born of moral certainty and a keen sense of justice. In court your Honour is polite and well-mannered, well prepared and helpful. Solicitors never have to worry about impatience or ill-tempered ways, which is something we worry about quite a bit. To the contrary, your Honour has had the textbook calm in your approach.

In chambers, newcomers' reception to the floor has been made all the warmer by their acquaintance with your Honour. Many of these acquaintances grew into firm and lasting friendships, and these are the people who have been informants for today. These relationships with you were characterised by loyalty, generosity and mutual confidence.

Much in the vein of Judge Sutherland, your Honour's dry sense of humour means you are always at the ready with very witty comebacks and we have already heard from the Attorney General about the fact that you are the perfect foil for Mr Adams, who is "Roy", and your Honour is "HG".

As the Attorney General has also said you are a mimic, apparently, of considerable ability. I understand that to hapless enquirers in chambers your

RSB:SND

Honour is able to render legal analysis as Sylvester the Cat, canvas the prospects of success with an appeal as Porky Pig, and formulate approaches to tendency arguments as Daffy Duck. Indeed, I am told that to hear Elmer Fudd discourse on the merits of a provocation defence is a wonderful thing.

In your spare time your Honour spends time with your wife Kai, whom you met in the DPP and who now runs her own interior decoration company. You also tend to the land on your property in south-east New South Wales. You go skiing at every opportunity, often in Aspen, and try your hand at trout fly-fishing.

I understand that your Honour apparently also collects model trains, and in particular you like to collect model brass trains of the Union Pacific Railroad and you have an extensive collection of that.

Between your Honour and your wife you have five adult children, of whom you are understandably very proud: Elissa, Jessica, Nicholas, James, Andrew and Charlotte, and I am told that you also have two fur babies, your golden retrievers, Lily and Jack.

Your Honour is also a pianist with a discerning ear for great artists, including Schnabel's classic rendition of Beethoven's sonatas and the collective Marx Brothers as well.

I mentioned earlier that your Honour will be sitting at Dubbo and a rural setting presents unique challenges to judges and counsel alike.

I understand that when you were prosecuting a country circuit in Coonamble, the jury panel were sitting in the public gallery when the Judge asked the Crown if the first trial was ready to proceed and your Honour informed him that, no, it was not. The Judge asked why and you said that you could not answer that in the presence of the jury.

RSB:SND

The Judge admonished you with something like, "Come, come, Mr Crown. These good people have just enjoyed the first good rains for some time and there's ploughing to attend to, and we can't sit around at the convenience of the State. So you have to let me know now why the first trial cannot proceed."

You said, in classic deadpan tone, "The prison truck hasn't arrived."

The jury panel was duly discharged and the Judge then asked the Crown if the second trial at least was ready to proceed and your Honour replied, "That was the only jury panel".

Once more, can I congratulate your Honour on your appointment to the Bench of this Court and, on behalf of the solicitors of this State, wish you all the very best for the future.

As the Court pleases.

PRICE J: Thank you, Ms Warner. Judge Sutherland?

JUDGE SUTHERLAND: The first thing I have to do, I am told, is to no longer wear these.

In a murder trial recently his Honour Fagan J remarked on these and I explained to him that they matched the shirt and the cufflinks that I was wearing. His Honour remarked that perhaps I'd changed shirts but it seemed to him that on occasion the glass has changed in the course of the day, and he wondered whether that was because of my mood. I said that rather depends on what falls from your Honour.

When I made my application for silk, now many years ago in 2001, I wrote - you had to apply at that stage, you did not get the tap on the shoulder - and I wrote, "Dear President, the time has come the walrus said". Dot, dot, dot. Indent. New paragraph.

RSB:SND

I feel very much the same with this position. It was a long time before I decided to put my hand up, to change, and indeed it was not something that I ever intended to do or aspired to. Having decided to do it, I am very happy that I have decided to do it, and I am feeling very comfortable and looking forward to a change in role.

There are those, Chief Judge, amongst what are now my brethren, who I think could be described as rude and callous in the lift. As many of them recall, circumstances of me addressing juries for very long periods of time with no notes whatsoever, I did actually prepare some notes today which is quite contrary to my normal practice. Indeed in the High Court last year I was asked to show an assembled group who were following me around to learn things, just hold up my notes shortly before the bench came on on a special leave application, and I did hold them up and they looked like that.

Today I will try and stick a little closer to general script which principally is a short review, correction of a couple of things, and a lot thanks. I will not be thanking everybody because to do so would turn this into worse than the Oscars. Those of you who purchased your tickets today through Ticketek, expecting a performance at a different or an unusual or a special performing kind, I should advise you that Ticketek does have a strict policy of no refunds and no exchange.

It is a long time - North Sydney Girls' High School was a joint participant with North Sydney Boys' in a production of Oliver, which we did in 1970, which was a long time ago, and things have move on, and there'll be no more stories about that.

One of the reasons that I thought I'd better use some notes is because one of the greatest artists on a rugby field, David Campese, who did the most

RSB:SND

marvellous - occasionally frustrating - but the most marvellous things on a field, was once asked how he knew where he was going to go and what he was going to do, and he said, "I don't, my legs go and I follow". I have occasionally been accused of letting my lips and tongue go and following, so I will try and hang on slightly to a bit of a script.

Memories run rampant in the lead-up to something like this. I recall the first tutelage that I had to try and put some discipline into an otherwise undisciplined approach to much of life, was the first 12 months I had as a solicitor. David McGovern, now of senior counsel, and I, jointly filled the role of acting for the Deputy Commissioner of Taxation in bankruptcy matters in the Federal Court.

When the late great Justice Bernard Riley, who I think appeared against Garfield Barwick in the Bank Nationalisation case, you either had it right, or it didn't happen, and it was a great great aspect of learning for a young solicitor.

I moved from there into the prosecution section of the then Deputy Crown Solicitor's office and fairly soon - back at a time when solicitors didn't do mentions, or if they did that was all they did - the Commonwealth paid \$60 fee on brief to State Crown Prosecutors to appear on sentence proceedings, because solicitors couldn't appear, and shouldn't appear in the District Court.

McGovern and I did what we could to tear those walls down which led, as the Attorney General has alluded to, to my ability to appear in habeas corpus applications in the Supreme Court. But when the police, the then Commonwealth Police, who in due course became the Federal Police - nice to see you Mr Grey - wanted me to run a trial, where I'd run the committal, that was a bridge too far. So I went and chatted to Gerry Smarth, who was the Magistrate we all appeared in front of regularly, he said, "You're wasting your

RSB:SND

time, you should go to the Bar”.

So I went to see Reginald Joseph Marr, DFC, QC, former Squadron Leader, on the 7th Floor at Garfield Barwick, looking for some guidance and advice. I didn't have any contacts in the law apart from those that I'd met through law school, and in my first job as a solicitor, certainly no family connections. And Reg in his marvellous way was diplomatic and accommodating, and I really wanted advice, and he said, “Look, I'm sure if you remain as a solicitor you'll have a glittering career. If, on the other hand, you decide to come to the Bar, I'll sure you'll be a great success”. And I thought, “Well thanks for nothing, what should I do?”

I walked out of his room, which until last night was now my room, and as we walked to the lifts he put his hand on my shoulder and he said, “If you do decide to come to the Bar there's a room for you on this floor”.

I went back to the office, I put my resignation in. I bought that room, I moved in, and I've been there until last night. He was a marvellous inspiration, very old school, but a terrific inspiration.

I was exposed then to some of the leading Silks. Michael McHugh gave me very sage advice when I was trying to work out whether I should be accepting common law briefs, or specialising in crime. McHugh said if you're a good enough advocate you can walk into any jurisdiction - a good enough advocate and a good enough lawyer - and I've always tried to follow that advice. Sometimes it worked, sometimes it didn't.

I'd be appearing for the GIO - Mr Lazar and others, nice to see you here, Mr Staten - and found myself parachuted onto to the then A Panel of the GIO. I remember saying to the head solicitor, who's here today, “I don't know anything about putting a price on a broken leg”. He said, “It doesn't matter.

RSB:SND

We want some advocates, you'll learn it in 5 minutes, and we'll tell you what it's worth anyway".

When I appeared in the Court of Criminal Appeal, or in some serious criminal cases, those from the solicitors who briefed me in common law said, "I didn't know you did crime". Conversely when I appeared in the Court of Appeal from time to time the solicitors who only knew me from crime would say, "I didn't know you did common law". And so it continued.

I'm not used to having notes, so bear with me. Chester Porter of course was a marvellous inspiration to many of us. Chester was both a terrific leader, a terrific co-representative in some matters where my side only had junior counsel and the other side had more money - Balmain Rugby League Club - and they had Chester Porter, walks on water - and of course Brian Thomas Sully.

Brian and I ended up doing a lot of work together. Nicholas Cowdroy took Silk and Nick had been Brian's junior in so many many hearings. When he took Silk I was dropped into the role as Sully's junior in a lot of trials. We were very much the odd couple, and those of you who know Brian Thomas Sully would have no difficulty in understanding the difference in our personalities and character.

I'd go to pick him up on a Sunday afternoon for the long drive up to Taree for one particularly long matter, and if he walked out the front and saw the family wagon there was no problem. If he saw the red Alfa Spider, which I bought in 1981 and I still drive, he would come out the front and go, "Robert, Robert, dear boy, not the red car, not the red car".

At all events Sully was a great inspiration, the person who corrected my use of the language more than any other person that I have ever known, and

RSB:SND

to great effect, and I hope that I learned a lot from him. He went to the Bench. The first time I appeared in front of him was a common law matter. I recall an expert giving some testimony about the duties of a taxi driver - and those of you who know Sully would know that he didn't have a car. He did get a licence once and he drove from Oxford to London, and that was the only time he ever drove, he never drove again.

I think Mr Justice Dowd, who was then the Attorney General, told that story at Brian's swearing in. Apparently he got to London and he said, "You've got no idea, there's these trucks, and buses and things. Oh, it was terrible".

Anyway Brian was described by Michael McHugh - I thought very unkindly - as one of Sydney's leading legal minds - of the 18th Century. I always thought that McHugh was unkind in that description because whilst Sully could properly be described as pre-Vatican 2, he was a master of knowledge, of culture, manners, Opera in particular, for sport I think he shared the view of Roddy Meagher, but not big on popular culture.

I was appearing in a trial at Court Number 3 at Darlinghurst, and in my classic organisation had turned the Bar Table into something looking like my study. I went to tender a passport and I couldn't find it. I'm rifling around in the papers on the Bar Table, and the jury are watching, and waiting, and Sully is sitting up there patiently. And in an allusion which most of you would understand, to that American magician married to the not unattractive Claudia Schiffer, namely David Copperfield, I was crying out for a magician to try and produce what I'd lost, and I said, "Where is David Copperfield when you need him?" The jury sniggered, his Honour didn't. He invited in for morning tea and he said, "Dear boy, what has Charles Dickens got to do with this case?"

RSB:SND

Francis Aloysius McAlary I had the great privilege of working with. I won't tell this story other than alluding to it, but McAlary conducted the cross-examination of David John Kelleher in a very long running trial, which had its own trials and tribulations. One of McAlary's children died in tragic circumstances towards the end of the Crown case.

We adjourned for three days. I think he thought that the Commonwealth would bring Chester in to finish off the trial. The Commonwealth decided that his junior could finish it off, and McAlary wouldn't have that, so he came back in the most extraordinary commitment and dedication to the job, given the circumstances of the tragedy that had occurred in his family.

I can say honestly and publicly, particularly on this day - which if I've got the date correct, August 15th was Victory in the Pacific Day - Frank McAlary was the dancing man in that film in the news documentaries that some of you will know and recall.

I can tell you, despite my alleged abilities, that if I lived until I was 150, and did another 35 years at the Bar, I could not have conducted the cross-examination that he did of David John Kelleher. It was a clash of two Titan egos of differing kinds, one criminal and one not. And McAlary started off, it was like a heavyweight championship of the world, it was a slugging match, and Kelleher at one stage was being a bit obstinate in answering questions. And McAlary said - and I won't raise my voice quite to the same level but it was theatrics of a kind you don't see very often - "Will you answer my question? Will you answer my question?" And Kelleher looked at him in front of the jury and he said, "I'll answer your questions to Hell freezes over or you get your old age pension, whichever comes first".

RSB:SND

Peter Newman looked at Kelleher and said, "Mr Kelleher, that sort of answer does not do your case any good. Kelleher looked at him up there at Taylor Square and he said, "Your Honour, that's as obvious as the genitalia at the rear end of a canine". Remarkable flourish of language, but memories. David Rofe of Queen's Counsel - Rofe had me brought in - I was often running cases alone and unled - and in this particular matter Rofe was brought in to lead me in a particularly difficult trial, and I went to his Chambers and he said, "Sutherland, come in, come in. Why are you in this case? I mean why am I in this case? Why are we together in this case?" And I said, "Well, David, because you're Queen's Counsel". He said, "Okay, okay, okay".

I remember he was taken on a view, and he was the Mayor of Woollahra at the time, and as the police car drove through Woollahra he wound down the window and said, "Hallo, subjects".

He rhetorically asked me in one conference whether I had any thoughts as to what this Turkish accused was thinking when he walked out with this bag that he believed had the heroin in it according to the surveillance police, and I facetiously said, "David, perhaps he thought it was Turkish delight".

Rofe, the following day in front of the jury, "Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you might ask yourself what did the accuse think was in the bag when he walked out the front door? My learned junior would suggest Turkish delight, but I would say no such thing". I've never been that callous to a junior.

I have been privileged to have many fine, upstanding and, in many cases, brilliant instructing solicitors. It is trite to say that there are too many to list. Their attributes were not only diligence, intelligence and patience, but also great forbearance and understanding of what on many occasions were my dual ethics of hard work and hard play. Not everybody understood that it was

RSB:SND

a team effort, that my ability to perform on my feet in the way that I did from time to time was facilitated as much by their understanding of me as much as by their assistance. I should acknowledge Gabrielle Drennan from the Commonwealth DPP, Kay Marinos, who amongst many other people each had an understanding and a feeling in court so that often they knew what I needed before I realised that I did need it.

Glen Walsh, now Magistrate Walsh, who undoubtedly is the source of that scurrilous lie that I prefer Irish whisky, biggest problem because the Irish cannot spell it, they put an "e" into it where there is no "e" in whisky when it is a proper whisky, but anyway. Glen Walsh was a marvellous instructing solicitor. He went on to become a junior on our floor. He worked with me in Petroulias and was of great assistance to me on many occasions. He counselled me against opening to the jury in Petroulias in the way that I did.

Petroulias had been the Deputy Assistant Commissioner of Taxation, he was charged with some serious frauds, and the essence of the defence was that what he had done was almost indefensible in one sense. But I wanted to tell the jury that there was a difference between immoral conduct and unethical conduct, and a breach of interest or a conflict of interest and committing a crime, and it occurred to me that the way to do that was to get their attention because Peter Hastings QC had opened to the jury for six days and I wanted to make sure they were awake and I also wanted to get their attention and I said to them, in the trial at Court 5 at King Street, Johnson J was presiding, I said "Ladies and gentlemen, there is a different between a breach of ethics and a conflict of interest and commission of a crime and at the end of the day you will find that Nicholas Petroulias is just a very naughty boy", and that is what I did and how I said it. The look on Peter Johnson J's face, priceless, I

RSB:SND

am not sure.

I should acknowledge some solicitors of recent years who have entrusted me with their clients in some very serious matters, Nick Hanna, Eidan Havas, Martin Ritchie, Stephen Alexander and others for whom I am very grateful.

In the country I did a lot of work in Tamworth and Richard and Patrick O'Halloran, Tony Broadhurst and Christopher Matthew Zucker were marvellous instructors. Zucker once sent me a brief in an Industrial Relations matter and on the outside of the brief it said "This matter is in the Industrial Relations Court. If you can find your way there please appear, if not please give the brief to someone who knows".

I mention that in part because I did want to, at the sake of an additional tangent for 30 seconds, acknowledge that my opponent that day was Robert Dubler. Robert Dubler, now SC, is married to Lyndall Thomas who was another marvellous instructor from the Commonwealth and indeed was instructing me at the time that Graham ADCJ's wife, my colleague Robyn Tupman DCJ, gave birth to their second child Tom who is here in the audience, and I got the news and I announced in front of the jury to Graham ADCJ that apparently congratulations were in order and his Honour still tells the story with some twists to it.

But Lyndall Thomas, who was my instructing solicitor, is married to Robert Dubler SC who was against me in that Industrial Relations matter. Dubler came off his surfboard about three months ago at Bondi Beach. He went headfirst into a sandbar. He fractured C4, I do not know the full prognosis other than it brings back the frailty of our existence, it brings back the importance of family, and Dubler was against me in that matter all those years ago and my heart goes out to him and his boys and his wife, that they

RSB:SND

make, all of them, the best possible recovery that may be available.

I should mention John Sutton who has been an inspiration, a great friend and a great provider of interesting, fascinating and different work from time to time, who defended a man charged in effect with a John Hopoate style offence on a soccer field. Instructed in the FGM last year, as an ex-London bobby, as a police prosecutor here, as an investigator for the Victorian Integrity Branch of the police, he brings so many different attributes to what he has done. I thank him publicly for his friendship and his loyalty and all the work.

Juniors. I have had intelligent, hard-driven and hardworking juniors. Again, too many to mention. I should in recent times acknowledge the great assistance I have had from Stewart Boving, from David Randall, from Brett Hatfield and Giko(?) Walsh, of course before he became a magistrate.

One of the juniors that I did a lot of work with, and quite enjoyably, was Michael Wigney who is now Wigney J of the Federal Court. I should share one last passing story with you about a person like Wigney who has now got such gravitas on the Federal Court.

We were running this difficult case in front of Graham Barr J and we were working in the Crown Prosecutor's chambers upstairs there at Darlinghurst and in order to facilitate the thought processes I sent Wigney out to get some beers, and he went at the time just when they were about to lock up the gate and neglected to take the key. I did not realise the gates had been locked. Wigney returned, found the gates locked and that impregnable security out there, he thought 'well, I better think about this', so he threw the beers over the fence and scaled it in his suit, and I was always concerned that the police might have come along and had a word to him.

We then continued to reorganise the exhibits, we had got permission

RSB:SND

from his Honour to do so, and without going back to Noman DCJ's last week where she had a kilo of heroin that she took as an exhibit back to the DPP through the streets of Parramatta, we had a slightly different situation. We had pictures which in the frames and behind were wrapped packets of heroin and they had all been taken out to be analysed, supposedly. A lot of the importers in those days used to use carbon paper because they would wrap the heroin thinking that it stopped the drugs being smelt by the customs dogs. It does not, it did not, but so many importers all over the world thought it did, so they used to wrap the heroin in carbon paper, and in reorganising what I think was exhibit WWWW, or some such ridiculous notion, a white packet fell out that obviously had been missed by the police in the deconstruction. I said to Wigney we're in Darlinghurst. So we rang the response team who came up, took possession of it and we made a discrete decision that it did not affect the integrity of the exhibit, the jury had not had it out in the room, they had not seen the heroin, so things were best left unsaid. That was the exposure that we had at that time.

Max Pincott who has come from Wagga, Crown Prosecutor extraordinaire, friend extraordinaire and one of the greatest legal researchers that I have ever worked with. We walked across England together, we got a lot more to do together. It is good to see you here.

My former partner Michelle Castle is here, I acknowledge publicly her great contribution legally. She is one of the best minds that I have worked with in appellate stuff particularly in recent years and I acknowledge that publicly.

Family and friends - I am not going to name you all, there is a time limit, the Chief Judge will hit me in a moment - thank you for coming.

My brother Ian and his family, it is good to see you here.

RSB:SND

Auntie Elsie, it has been a long time. It is a long time since the wedding in Scotland. My mother and father were married at St Giles Cathedral in Edinburgh in October 1952. Mum's parents had come from Scotland on a boat in the early 20s. She went back to Britain nursing, met my father, got engaged, got married, fell pregnant immediately and then came back to Australia five months later with a son and her husband having left as a single woman. Auntie Elsie is one of the three remaining aunts and it is lovely to see you here, auntie. Nellie was meant to be here but her husband, my uncle Bruce, has taken extremely ill and she at the last moment was not able to make it.

I should thank my clerks, Barry Drury(?), the indubitable, redoubtable Barry Drury, and my clerk of the last 15 years, Mark Grace, without peer in his relationship with solicitors and in coordinating and herding cats, which is required to be done on a floor of barristers.

My first ever secretary, Anthea Green, phoned me last night. She worked for me in 1988. She, these days, lives on the Darling Downs. And Anthea rang, I should acknowledge her.

Sue, Samatha, Janelle, Edwina. Georgie, who is going to become my associate. And Renata, my bookkeeper of 16 plus years. Thank you all for your support.

My partner Therese has two children. Karissa(?) who is living here in Sydney, it is great to see you here. And Joshua who has flown down from Townsville where his mother originally hails from. Getting Therese to move permanently from Townsville to Sydney was no mean feat.

The support, the stability on the home front, the love and the general

RSB:SND

organisation that she brings to my life mean that I am looking forward to the next passage of life with her support as we move forward.

There is sometimes collateral damage from our focus on the profession and I do not propose to say any more than my own daughters following a divorce can be, if I use the terminology of the Americans, collateral damage. I very much regret that, I love them, I wish they were here. Dedication to the job sometimes hurts those that are closest to you. I caution all of you, hard work and drive and commitment are singularly important, whether you are in politics, whether you are on the bench or whether you are at the bar but do not forget those that sometimes are sitting at home.

I should close with a happy recollection. When Emma was at kindergarten, the kids were asked what their parents did or what their dads did and various of the children said, "Oh, my dad does engineering" or "my dad does medicine" and Emma was asked what her dad did and she said, "Oh, my dad does heroin".

I should complete the circle by acknowledging that according to the press release, I am replacing G D Woods QC. Greg Woods was a terrific judge and a terrific fellow and the circle that I complete by raising that is that he was my criminal law lecturer at Sydney University and the only lecturer who on occasions said, "Guys, I think we will continue this lecture in the Vintage Bar" which was the old Carlton Hotel across the road and I learnt a lot about criminal law from Greg Woods over the second schooner.

I was going to conclude with the reference to the Sutherland motto which is Sans Peur. It does mean without fear and I do hope that I bring appropriate without fear and without favour to this function.

PRICE CJ: Thank you, judge. Judge Ingram?

RSB:SND

JUDGE INGRAM: Thank you, Chief Judge. I do have notes and they are brief.

JUDGE SUTHERLAND : Do I dissent now or later?

JUDGE INGRAM: Chief Judge, Judges of this Court, Attorney General, Honourable Judges and members of other Courts, Ms Warner, members of the profession, distinguished guests, family and friends, ladies and gentlemen. Attorney, I am deeply honoured to be appointed a judge of this Court and that you have attended this ceremony and spoken on behalf of the bar.

Ms Warner, I am also honoured that you have attended this ceremony and spoken on behalf of the solicitors of New South Wales. I thank both you, Attorney and you, Ms Warner, for your most generous remarks. The observations you have each made regarding my personal life have been in large measure correct including the references to a number of predilections that I have. Quite how you found out about some of those remains a mystery perhaps but I note one name was mentioned and I will speak with that person in due course.

Some of those traits, if that is the correct term, may seem innocuous enough by any measure; but a number of them might tend to arouse deep concern that they are in fact evidence of a seriously flawed personality. However, in my own defence I should observe that I in fact have a highly eclectic nature which has long thrived on that type of divergent extra-curricular activity and interest.

I was relieved to hear that the references to my professional life included what were a flatteringly large number of very welcome exaggerations.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to the Chief Judge and to other judges of this Court for the very warm reception that I have received as the

RSB:SND

newest member of the Court, both in written notes, telephone and personal contact.

To all those who have written to me in various forms, I assure you I will respond, though it may take me a little while.

I should return to the beginning very briefly if I may of my participation in the bar. I wish to thank my pupil master Tony Hewitt, now of Senior Counsel, with whom I read when I first came to the bar in 1985. Tony was very kind to me and he persisted in his endeavours to provide appropriate guidance for me as a pupil, despite my growing and unrelenting disinterest in third party and other insurance work in which he specialised. That disinterest was the product of my then new found aspiration to practice in criminal law which had flourished immediately after I began to watch criminal trials in the District Court and appeals in the Court of Criminal Appeal. Despite my lack of application, he persisted and I thank him for that effort.

As you have heard, I practised in Garfield Barwick Chambers between 1985 and 1992. I was warmly welcomed as a complete newcomer to both the profession and that floor and I was provided with tremendous support by the staff. In particular, may I here record my thanks for Ms Sarojini Ramsay who was the Clerk of that floor. As a new barrister, anyone here who has been at the bar will recognise, it is absolutely critical that one has the support of a sound, caring, interested and helpful clerk and Ms Ramsay was all those and many other things.

I should also acknowledge if I may the contribution that was made by, as he now is, his Honour Judge Toner SC of this Court who was at the time a senior member of that floor. He gave me great encouragement and support as a fellow barrister and as a newcomer - that was a tremendous boon. It was

RSB:SND

through him, in fact that I came to receive the brief to which the Attorney has alluded in what I will refer to as the Sutherland bushfires cases and for that support I gratefully express my thanks.

There are two other particular floor members, at least one of whom I can see here today. They both know who they are unsurprisingly. Both of them took particular care of me when I was a baby barrister and even later when I became more experienced. We became close friends and continue to be such. I enjoyed tremendously our many fly fishing and outback trips.

As you have heard, in 1992 I was appointed a Crown Prosecutor, I dare say much to the surprise of some of my floor colleagues and perhaps astonishment of some of the then members of this Court before whom I had appeared in trials, sentences and various short matters. I trust the intervening years, though, have served to allay any concerns that any of those people might have had to my initial appointment to that role.

I am very grateful to have been afforded the opportunities to serve as a Crown Prosecutor from 1992 and as a Deputy Senior Crown Prosecutor from 2006. The first of those appointments was made when the Honourable Reg Blanch was the then Director of Public Prosecutions and the second of those was made when Mr Nicholas Cowdery of Queens Counsel had taken up the office as the Director. I thank them both for their roles in those appointments.

After being appointed a Crown Prosecutor, I initially practised in Crown chambers at Liverpool, Parramatta and Sydney respectively. I thank the administrative staff at each of those centres who provided very high level clerical and other support to me. Doing the sort of work that is required in trials, as anyone here who has done them knows, is much more difficult if one does not have the correct support facilities.

RSB:SND

I also wish to express my thanks to a very important group of people, if I may, and that is those who I will refer to as the witness assistant staff. At that time, that service was relatively new. It was essentially at its inception. Now, of course, there is a much greater level of such staffing from which the system of criminal justice greatly benefits. Those staff were involved in many of the Crown trial briefs in which I appeared during that period and the skilled performance of the duties of those officers made a crucial contribution to the criminal justice process in those cases.

I also wish to express my thanks to the solicitors who instructed me in the various trials and other matters at that time. In each centre that I have mentioned, the solicitors provided exceptionally high quality Crown trial and other briefs, demonstrated the extremely detailed knowledge of those briefs and were highly professional in their instruction and appearance with me in Court. The importance of a competent instructing solicitor cannot be overstated. Since the best such solicitors make their role look easy, their contribution will often go unnoticed by the casual observer. However, experienced barristers and of course experienced solicitors all know just how critical is the role of an instructing solicitor. It was my great privilege to have been instructed by many first rate solicitors in criminal trials and other matters both while a Crown Prosecutor and while at the private bar. I thank them all for their professionalism, conscientious and industry.

I was also fortunate to be in Crown chambers with many fine Crown Prosecutors some of whom are here today. I was welcomed by those Crowns in that period and they were particularly generous with their time when I wanted to search out their views or discuss with them some particular aspect of practice or law. I thank all of the Crown Prosecutors with whom I've been in

RSB:SND

Chambers over those years for their collegiality and their congeniality.

I will, if I may, identify three persons. Doing so may appear to necessarily involve an invidious choice. However I am making no such choice, I am simply adverting to and returning the debt of honour that I have because of the assistance they gave me at a very difficult time of my life. Therefore may I acknowledge the great assistance and help that I received from - as they now are - the Honourable Justice RA Hulme, Magistrate Mr Peter Dare SC and Mr Neville Parsons of Counsel with whom I was in Chambers at Parramatta in the mid-1990's.

Since 2002 I have appeared in numerous appeals in the Court of Criminal Appeal, as the Attorney has observed it has been in excess of 400. I thank, if I may, Mr Cowdroy again, for it was he who first approved my doing that work, initially in 1999, and then in 2002. I am also most grateful that the approval for me to do that work has continued on essentially annually since then by the various Acting Directors and the current Director, a period of some 14 years. In that regard, I am also most grateful also of the support of my continuing in that role that I received from the Senior Crown Prosecutor Mark Tedeschi QC, who is also here today.

May I also thank my predecessors as the Deputy Senior Crown Prosecutor in appeals who were - as they now are - Judge Frearson SC and Judge Arnott SC of this Court.

Again, may I reiterate my thanks - I was told not to use this in a note from a particular correspondent - mutatis mutandis or with all the necessary changes being made to the administrative staff in the Appeals Section of the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions.

Again, may I reiterate my thanks to the witness assistance staff in relation

RSB:SND

to their duties, exemplarily performed, if I may put it as high as that, in relation to the appeals in which I appeared.

As you will understand appeals often involve cases which concern matters of great criminality, including homicides and the most grave forms of sexual assaults and other offences. The services of witness assistance staff in appeals where victims, or family members of victims, or traumatised Crown witnesses as one can sometimes see, are of great importance and the performance of the role of witness assistance staff has a value which cannot be overstated in those circumstances. They are indispensable.

The witness support staff who have provided their services in the course of the appeals in which I have appeared have discharged their role in a manner which I would describe, with respect, as exemplary.

I also thank, if I may, the solicitors in the Appeals Section for their very high quality briefs, their detailed knowledge of those briefs and their professional instructions in court.

Appellate work is extremely specialised and requires close attention to detail. I will not dilate at any length now in relation to why that it so, except to repeat that it is. There is nothing more unnerving, so I'm told - because it never happened to me - to appear in an appellate Court and to have a brief which has fundamental faults, defects, flaws and the like. You can imagine, perhaps, what it must be like to stand before a Bench of three, or at sometimes five, appellate judges of the most senior court that hears criminal cases in this state – apart from the High Court of course - and find that there is something fundamentally wrong with your brief. It must be an unnerving, terrifying experience. Fortunately, that has never happened to me and the reason for that is the exemplary work of those instructing solicitors and clerical assistants.

RSB:SND

I thank all of the Crown Prosecutors with whom I have been in Chambers in relation to the appellate work. In particular, may I acknowledge certain individuals. As I have said, identification of names becomes invidious, but I note faces many of them in Court here today, and I am confident they know who they are, I'd be surprised if they don't.

I also thank all the Crown Prosecutors who were involved in appeals in relation to the not particularly easy task of their being briefed and later re-briefed when we were engaged in the activity of settling and re-settling the briefing arrangements.

I say "we" because in fact that task was completed by me and another person. I wish, if I may, to mention that person by name. He is Mr George Galanis who is the managing lawyer of the appeal section. He is an extraordinarily accomplished solicitor and manager. Since 2012, we have together arranged the briefing arrangements for Crown Prosecutors in appeals - which is an important task. I shall not dilate at any length on the reasons for that, but it is.

Mr Galanis is always a solicitor and manager who is prepared, efficient, innovative, completely professional in our settling of the Crown briefing and other arrangements as well as in the handling of all the numerous other issues that arise in the course of him managing the appeal section at the ODPP. The success of the appeal section of the Solicitor's office is, in my view, a reflection of his professional ability, his industry and his devotion to duty. I thank him for his assistance and support during the time that I have been responsible for that duty in the role of Deputy Senior Crown Prosecutor for Appeals.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the contributions of my family and friends. If you will forgive me for dilating slightly in relation to my family, my parents

RSB:SND

gave me, my brother and sister, a loving, gentle home. We also had loving grandparents who lived with and nearby to us. In all the important ways, I had an idyllic childhood and adolescence.

My father, as you have heard, was an architect. He was creative, reliable, hardworking and brilliant. He was accomplished at freehand drawing, making models of just about anything, gardening, carpentry, motor car repairs and almost anything else he decided to turn his hands to.

My mother began her work as a secretary/stenographer in the Melbourne Children's Court. She continued to work in secretarial roles or similar throughout most of her working life, until she retired in the 1990s, if my memory serves me correctly, after more than a decade as a school secretary.

Mum and Dad were both family people who passed on to us, their own children, the ways of their own fathers and mothers. Those ways included the importance of honour, the performance of one's duty, truthfulness, trustworthiness, industry, application, kindness, courtesy and humility.

Regrettably my parents and grandparents are now passed away, but I am sure that they would have been very proud if they were here today.

My brother Bernard and my sister Anne-Marie, together with her husband David and her daughter Scandia are here.

I now come to our children. We are a blended family, but as you have heard there are five, Elissa is here with her husband David, Jessica with her partner Lee, Nicholas with his partner Lorraine, both of whom have travelled up a long distance from the south coast. So too present are my stepson James, my stepson Andrew and his partner Charlotte. While my brother-in-law Andrew and sister-in-law Deanne are also here having come from a very long distance.

RSB:SND

I thank all of those family members and our other friends who have come here today for their attendance.

I was told I had to say this under pain of some serious punishment, but I note that I am more easily able to say it because someone else has already referred to them, but Lily and Jack regrettably cannot be here although I am told they will join us later in the day.

Finally, may I speak briefly about my wife, Kai.

Kai, was a solicitor for some 30 years and, as you have been told, having retired from law, she has now established her own company that provides interior design and similar services in Sydney.

Although that company has a number of employees and contractors, it is Kai alone who provides all the creative, design and artistic work, as well as performing all of the management functions. I am most pleased to say that her new enterprise has flourished.

Both as a member of the legal profession and in her new interior design career, Kai has always been regarded by all who know her as a person with the highest personal, professional and ethical standards.

For me, Kai's love, intelligence, common sense, wit, grace, savoir-faire and impeccable good taste have brought to my life personal fulfilment, professional achievement, order, beauty and contentment.

I thank her for everything and I willingly share the honour of this day with her.

Thank you.

PRICE J CJDC: Thank you, Judge, the Court will now adjourn.